Nigeria REDD+ Readiness Fund Progress Report

v. 2019

FCPF Readiness Fund:
REDD+ Country Participant Annual Progress Report

About this document
This template is for use by Readiness Fund (RF) REDD+ Country Participants to report their annual progress on REDD+ readiness activities in general, and on FCPF-supported activities in particular. The data provided through these reports represents a central information source for measuring progress against the FCPF's expected results and performance indicators, as articulated within the FCPF Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Framework.

Report preparation
Reports cover progress through 30th June of each year.

When preparing the report, RF REDD+ Country Participants should draw upon the country M&E system for REDD+ (component 6 of the R-PP) and should consult members of the national REDD task force or equivalent body. Inputs from stakeholders including IPs and CSOs should be integrated, with any divergent views recorded as appropriate. Detailed, indicator-by-indicator definitions and reporting guidance are provided within the FCPF M&E Framework (https://www.forestcarbonpartnership.org/monitoring-and-evaluation-0).

Reporting schedule
Completed reports should be submitted to the FCPF's Facility Management Team (FMT) by 15th July every year.

SECTION A: NARRATIVE SUMMARY

1. In brief, what were the main REDD+ readiness-related <u>activities</u> and achievements delivered within your country during the last year?
   - Activities - For example, strategy / policy drafting, stakeholder consultation events, capacity building / training, awareness raising initiatives
   - Achievements - For example, x number of individuals attended REDD+ consultations (sex disaggregated, if available), national REDD+ strategy was finalised, government formally adopted national REDD+ related policy/s, NFMS was established, partnership agreement with private sector association signed
   - Please be as specific as possible, e.g. name, date and number of participants in consultation events (sex disaggregated, if available), name of policy being drafted, institutions involved in policy drafting

---

COMPONENT 1A. NATIONAL REDD+ MANAGEMENT ARRANGEMENTS
- Experts recruited on: MRV, Stakeholder Engagement and recruitment of the national and international consultants to support on operational matters

- Developed Terms of Reference for the Scoping Mission, for the selection of three additional states. The scoping mission ensures the review of status and preparedness of interested states to join REDD+ Programme.

COMPONENT 1B.

- Developed Terms of reference: Gender Analysis for Inclusive and Participatory REDD+ Mechanism in Nigeria forestry and Natural Resource Sector.
- Developed Terms of reference (ToR) capacity building of Rural Women on business plan and principles of value chain management in six REDD+ States, Nigeria.

COMPONENT 2. REDD+ STRATEGY PREPARATION

- Commence research into REDD+ strategy options.
- The strategy document needs to further fine-tuned and launched officially.

COMPONENT 3; REFERENCE EMISSION LEVEL/REFERENCE LEVEL

- Developed Terms of reference for the implementation of additional data collection for the National Forest Inventory in REDD+ States.
- Developed Terms of reference for capacities for developing a GHG inventory and reporting
- Developed Terms of reference for ongoing capacity building and training in Forest Cover Monitoring of Technical Stakeholders from National, State and Community levels.
- Developed Terms of reference for the Support for System Strengthening including Software need and upgrade for MRV.

COMPONENT 4a; NATIONAL FOREST MONITORING SYSTEM

- Developed Terms of reference for the Development of National Forest Monitoring System (NFMS) for the Nigeria REDD+.
- Developed Terms of reference for the Support for Technical Team to Strengthen NFMS including high resolution imagery to supplement wall-to-wall National Forest Assessment.

COMPONENT 4b; INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR MULTIPLE BENEFITS, OTHER IMPACTS, GOVERNANCE AND SAFEGUARDS

- Developed Terms of reference (ToR) for the development of Communication. The Communication is targeted on how best to use communication to engage various target groups. It also provides for the role of internal stakeholders in use of communication.
- Developed Terms of reference (ToR) for the development of Framework for Safeguards Information System (SIS) for Nigeria.
- Developed Terms of reference (ToR) for development of Multiple Benefits of Reducing Emission from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD+) in Nigeria.

2. What were the main REDD+ readiness-related challenges and/or problems during the last year?
    - For example, lack of engagement from key stakeholders, political barriers, limited funding

- There has been challenge in the change of signatories for the additional finance accounts both the Dollar and Naira accounts. This is being addressed as the draw down is about to be made.
- Lack of training/capacity enhancement for the M & E officer and other REDD+ Secretariat Staff.
-
- Lack of incentives Allowance for REDD+ Secretariat Staff.
-
- National and State REDD+ Secretariats would need to develop and implement good resource mobilization strategies to mobilize sufficient resources to cover the key activities envisaged under the piloting phase of REDD+ implementation in Nigeria.

3. What are the main REDD+ readiness-related activities that you hope to deliver, and achievements anticipated during the next year?
    - For example, hold x consultation events, submission of R-Package to the PC, finalisation of SIS, commission research into REDD+ strategy options

- Engagement and capacity strengthening of 3 additional States in the REDD+ process.

- Commence research into REDD+ strategy options.

- Development, archiving and airing of REDD+ Documentary

- Mainstreaming REDD+ in national development plans, NDC implementation plan, Nigeria Green Bond, Private Sectors and National Focal points of multilateral financing.

- Data collection for NFI in additional States.

- Support for system strengthening including software need and upgrade for MRV.

- Development of National Forest Monitoring System (NFMS).

- Acquisition of data for Multiple Benefits analysis and mapping,

- Development of Safeguards Information System for Nigeria

SECTION B: READINESS PROGRESS

4. Please provide your own assessment of national progress against all REDD+ readiness sub-components:
   (**Indicator OV.1.B**: Number of FCPF supported countries that have in place a National REDD+ Strategy, FREL/FRL, NFMS and SIS; **Output 1.3 indicators**)

Progress rating key:

Complete	The sub-component has been completed
	Significant progress
	Progressing well, further development required
	Further development required
	Not yet demonstrating progress
N/A	The sub-component is not applicable to our process

Sub-component	Progress rating (mark 'X' as appropriate)		Narrative assessment (briefly explain your rating)
R-PP Component 1: Readiness Organisation and Consultation			
Sub-component 1a: National REDD+ Management Arrangements	Complete		The National REDD+ Secretariat has effectively developed modality for selecting additional three states to be mainstreamed into the National REDD+ readiness activities.
	(yellow)	X	
	N/A		

Sub-component	Progress rating (mark 'X' as appropriate)		Narrative assessment (briefly explain your rating)
Sub-component 1b: Consultation, Participation and Outreach	Complete		Further development required
	(green)		
	(yellow)		The National REDD+ Secretariat has identified capacity needs of Rural Women and is in the process of training them on business plan and principles of value chain management in six REDD+ States, Nigeria
	(orange)	X	
	(red)		
	N/A		
R-PP Component 2: REDD+ Strategy Preparation			
Sub-component 2: REDD+ Strategy	Complete		Further development required
	(green)		
	(yellow)		Commence research into REDD+ strategy options.
	(orange)	X	
	(red)		
	N/A		
Sub-component 2a: Assessment of Land Use, Land Use Change Drivers, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	Complete		Further development required
	(green)		
	(yellow)		Conducted a spatial and explicit drivers of deforestation: land use/land use change analysis; deforestation "hotspots" in CRS identified.
	(orange)	X	
	(red)		
	N/A		
Sub-component 2b: REDD+ Strategy Options	Complete		Further development required
	(green)		
	(yellow)		Commence research into REDD+ strategy options.
	(orange)	X	
	(red)		
	N/A		
Sub-component 2c: Implementation Framework	Complete		Not yet demonstrating progress
	(green)		
	(yellow)		
	(orange)		
	(red)	X	
	N/A		
Sub-component 2d: Social and Environmental Impacts	Complete		Not yet demonstrating progress
	(green)		
	(yellow)		
	(orange)		
	(red)	X	
	N/A		
R-PP Component 3: Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels			

Sub-component	Progress rating (mark 'X' as appropriate)		Narrative assessment (briefly explain your rating)
Component 3: Reference Emissions Level/Reference Levels	Complete		The National REDD+ Secretariat has effectively developed Terms of reference for the implementation of additional data collection for the National Forest Inventory in REDD+ States.
	(green)		
	(yellow)		
	(orange)	X	
	(red)		
	N/A		
R-PP Component 4: Monitoring Systems for Forests and Safeguards			
Sub-component 4a: National Forest Monitoring System	Complete		Demonstrated progress in the development of the terms of reference for National Forest Monitoring System (NFMS) for the Nigeria REDD+. Also, preparation has been concluded for the Support for Technical Team to Strengthen NFMS including high resolution imagery to supplement wall-to-wall National Forest Assessment.
	(green)		
	(yellow)		
	(orange)	X	
	(red)		
	N/A		
Sub-component 4b: Information System for Multiple Benefits, Other Impacts, Governance, and Safeguards	Complete		Demonstrated progress in the development of the terms of reference for the Framework for Safeguards Information System (SIS) for Nigeria.
	(green)		
	(yellow)		
	(orange)	X	
	(red)		
	N/A		

SECTION C: NON-CARBON BENEFITS

5. Does your national REDD+ Strategy or Action Plan include activities that directly aim to <u>sustain and enhance livelihoods</u> (e.g. one of your program objective/s is <u>explicitly targeted at livelihoods</u>; your approach to non-carbon benefits <u>explicitly incorporates livelihoods</u>)?
(**Indicator 3.2.b:** Number of RF REDD+ Country Participants whose REDD+ Strategies include activities that directly aim to sustain and enhance livelihoods)

Yes		(delete as appropriate)

If yes, please provide further detail, including attachments and/or references to the documentation that outlines your approach:
The Nigeria Strategy has been developed drawing from Frame work Strategy developed during UNREDD funding grant.

6. Does your national REDD+ Strategy or Action Plan include activities that directly aim to <u>conserve biodiversity</u> (e.g. one of your program objective/s is <u>explicitly targeted at biodiversity conservation</u>; your approach to non-carbon benefits <u>explicitly incorporates biodiversity conservation</u>)?
   (**Indicator 3.3.b:** Number of RF REDD+ Country Participants whose REDD+ Strategies include activities that directly aim to conserve biodiversity)

Yes		(delete as appropriate)

If yes, please provide further detail, including attachments and/or references to the documentation that outlines your approach
The Nigeria Strategy has been developed drawing from Frame work Strategy developed during UNREDD funding grant.

SECTION D: FINANCE

(Please complete in US$ ONLY)

7. Please detail the amount of <u>all</u> finance received in support of development and delivery of your national REDD+ readiness process <u>since the date that your R-PP was signed</u>. Figures should only include <u>secured finance</u> (i.e. fully committed) – ex ante, (unconfirmed) finance or in-kind contributions should not be included:
   (**Indicator 1.B:** Amount of finance received to support the REDD+ Readiness process (disaggregated by public, private, grants, loans))

Amount (US$)	Source (e.g. FCPF, FIP, name of gov't department)	Date committed (MM/YY)	Public or private finance? (Delete as appropriate)	Grant, loan or other? (Delete as appropriate)
EXAMPLES:				
$500,000	FCPF Readiness Preparation Grant	10/2013	Public	Grant
$250,000	Ministry of Forestry	01/2014	Public	Grant
$4m	UNREDD	01/2012	Public	Grant
$3.8m	FCPF Readiness Preparation Grant	01/2015	Public	Grant
$0.8m	CBR+ Grant	01/2014	Public / Private	Grant /loan/Other
$0.131m	GCFF	01/2014	Public	Grant
$4.9	FCPF Additional Finance Grant	01/2019	Public	Grant
$			Public / Private	Grant / Loan / Other

8. Please detail any ex ante (unconfirmed) finance or in-kind contributions that you hope to secure in support of your national REDD+ readiness process:

Amount (US$)	Source (e.g. FCPF, FIP, name of gov't department)	Public or private finance? (Delete as appropriate)	Grant, loan or other? (Delete as appropriate)
$		Public / Private	Grant / Loan / Other
$		Public / Private	Grant / Loan / Other

$		Public / Private	Grant / Loan / Other
$		Public / Private	Grant / Loan / Other
$		Public / Private	Grant / Loan / Other
$		Public / Private	Grant / Loan / Other

SECTION E: FCPF PERFORMANCE

9. To help build an understanding of the FCPF strengths, weaknesses and contributions to REDD+, please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements:

    (**Indicator 4.B:** Participant Countries' assessment of FCPF's role within and contribution to national REDD+ processes)

	Mark 'X' as appropriate				
	Completely disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Completely agree
The FCPF's support has had a **central influence** on the development our national REDD+ systems and processes					X
The FCPF's support has **improved the quality** of our national REDD+ systems and processes					X
The FCPF's support has **improved national capacities** to develop and deliver REDD+ projects				X	
The FCPF's support has **helped to ensure substantive involvement of multiple stakeholders** (including women, IPs, CSOs and local communities in our national REDD+ systems and processes				X	

Comments / clarifications, if appropriate:

10. To help assess the usefulness and application of FCPF knowledge products (<u>publications, seminars, learning events, web resources</u>), please indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements:

    (**Indicator 4.3.a:** Extent to which FCPF learning, evidence and knowledge products are used by Participant countries)

	Mark 'X' as appropriate				
	Completely disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Completely agree
We **regularly access** FCPF knowledge products to obtain REDD+ related information				X	
FCPF knowledge products are **relevant** to our REDD+ related information requirements				X	
FCPF knowledge products are **sufficient** to address all of our REDD+ related information requirements		X			
The FCPF **website** is a useful resource for accessing FCPF and REDD+ related information				X	

Comments / clarifications, if appropriate:

SECTION F: FINAL COMMENTS

11. If appropriate, please provide any further comments or clarifications relating to your work on REDD+ Readiness during the last year:

> There has been delay in the release of fund due to the change of signatories for the additional finance accounts both the Dollar and Naira accounts. This is being addressed as the draw down is about to be made. In spite of this, the National REDD+ Secretariat has effectively been working on the terms of references (ToR) as contained in the workplan, including clearance of the ToRs from the Bank.